



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/664,994	09/17/2003	Douglas S. Hardesty	112874.00088	1707
21324	7590 03/08/2006		EXAMINER	
HAHN LOESER & PARKS, LLP			PHAN, HAU VAN	
One GOJO Plaza Suite 300		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
AKRON, OH 44311-1076			3618	

DATE MAILED: 03/08/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Nation of Abandansan	10/664,994	HARDESTY, DOUGLAS S.				
Notice of Abandonment	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Hau V. Phan	3618				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app						
This application is abandoned in view of:						
Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office     (a) □ A reply was received on (with a Certificate of M period for reply (including a total extension of time of, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on	lailing or Transmission dated) month(s)) which expired on	<u> </u>				
(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 (	Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); of					
(c) A reply was received on but it does not constitutional rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See		mpt at a proper reply, to the non-				
(d) ⊠ No reply has been received.						
<ul> <li>2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-8 (a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was), which is after the expiration of the statutory per Allowance (PTOL-85).</li> </ul>	5). received on (with a Certifica	ate of Mailing or Transmission dated				
(b) The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance of \$ is due.						
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ 1	The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$					
(c) $\square$ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has no	ot been received.					
<ol> <li>Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as requ Allowability (PTO-37).</li> </ol>	ired by, and within the three-month p	period set in, the Notice of				
(a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply.						
(b) \( \sum \) No corrected drawings have been received.						
I. ☐ The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the the applicants.	e attorney or agent of record, the assi	ignee of the entire interest, or all of				
<ol> <li>The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.</li> </ol>	attorney or agent (acting in a repres	entative capacity under 37 CFR				
<ol> <li>The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference of the decision has expired and there are no allowed clair</li> </ol>		e the period for seeking court review				
7. The reason(s) below:	Houghen 3/4/00	Hau V Phan Primary Examiner				
Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdra		Art Unit: 3618				